IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : AT BILASPUR.

Cf 1001-

WRIT PETITION NO. 913 /2001.

PETITIONER : Chhattisgarh Rajya Vidyut Mandal Abhiyanta Sangh (CRVMAS), Through Its Co-Ordinator Shri Anil Shrivastava, S/o Shri R.S. Shrivastava, Aged about 50 years,

R/o : Qr. No. A-7, H.T.P.P. Colony, C.S.E.B., Korba (West), Distt. Korba (C.G.).

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS : 1). State of Madhya Pradesh, Through : Secretary, Department of Energy, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

2). Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board, Through : Secretary, Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, Distt.Jabalpur (M.P.).

3). State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Department of Energy, D.K.Bhawan, Raipur, Distt.Raipur (C.G.).

4). Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board (C.S.E.B.), Through : Secretary, Danganiya, Raipur, Distt.Raipur (C.G.).

5). Union of India, Through : Secretary, Ministry of Power, New Delhi.

P.R. No. 2063/01
Presented by Shri B. D. Gupta
dated 29/9/01

Oath Commissioner A. PATRIKAR BILASPUR (C.G.) Bilaspur Tahsil

29/9/01

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The petitioner, above-named most respectfully begs to submit as under :-

XI-HC-22

(287)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1913 सन् 2001

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17.10.2002 Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vivek Tankha, Advocate General with Shri P.S.Koshy, G.A. and Shri R.S.Baghel for respondents No.1 & 2. Shri Ravindra Shrivastava, Advocate General with Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for respondents 3 and 4. Shri A.S.Gaharwar, Central Government standing counsel for respondent No.5. Heard. This writ petition has been filed by Chhattisgarh Rajya Vidyut Mandal Abhiyanta Sangh (CRVMS) for a direction to respondents No.1 & 2 i.e.to forthwith send the service records of the Engineers now working in the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board (C.S.E.B). The grievance of the writ petitioner is that after reorganization of the State, though officers of M.P.E.B. have been transferred to Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board or are working there, their service records were not being transferred which was causing them acute hardship as their promotions and other benefits were being delayed. —2—	

[पीछे देखिये

288

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

During the pendency of the writ petition, the respective Boards and State Governments had convened a meeting for resolving the dispute wherein certain decisions were arrived at and on the basis of thereof, the service records have been transferred to the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board. The only documents which have not been forwarded relate to G.P.F. amounts with respect to all the employees working under the Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board before the reorganization. The learned Advocate General, C.G. has pointed out that this fact has been brought to the notice of the Secretary, M.P.E.B., who has been requested to provide the reconciled statement of closing balance with respect to the employees who are now working under the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board consequent to the reorganization.

Shri Tankha, learned Advocate General appearing for the State of M.P. and M.P.E.B. submitted that appropriate action taken at a meeting of the Officials of both the Boards to resolve the matter. This, in our opinion, should be done at the earliest preferably within a period of three month from today.

Recorded the submission above, the petition stands disposed of.

—3—

पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

289

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —3—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Shri Alok Bakshi who had moved an application for intervention seeks permission to withdraw the same with liberty to take appropriate action as may be available to the interveners under the law. The prayer is allowed.

Consequently, I.A.No.2240/2002 for urgent hearing and I.A.No.5714/2002 for intervention also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Anjani

[पीछे देखिये]